## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DEL NORTE

---000---

FILED

JUL 2 4 2000

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF DEL NORTE

Plaintiff,

No. 97-268-X

ELLIOTT SCOTT GRIZZLE, (H-10106),

vs.

Defendant.

---000---

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

## ON HEARING ON MOTIONS

Had in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Del Norte, beginning at 2:15 O'Clock, P.M., Thursday, February 4, 1999. Before the:

Honorable ROBERT W. WEIR, Judge thereof

---000---

## **APPEARANCES**

For the People:

MICHAEL D. RIESE

Interim District Attorney

County of Del Norte County Courthouse

Crescent City, California 95531 By: JAMES FALLMAN, Dep. D.A.

For the Defendant:

RUSSELL J. CLANTON

Attorney at Law

725 H Street, Suite D Arcata, California 95521

Reported by: Lorraine Kaye O'Hara, CSR No. 5637, RPR



MR. CLANTON: And he is anticipated being -- being 1 2 called as a witness here and I think just as any correctional officer would want to review his reports, those 3 sort of things, he's simply asking that all of his documents 4 be returned. 5 6 THE COURT: Well, I think he and C.D.C. are going to 7 have to work that one out. Between them. Before the Court did, at least. 8 9 All right, anything else? All right, we'll have 10 to -- we'll have to simply delay Mr. Grizzle's matter then 11 until the representative arrives concerning the discovery of the -- those items as to -- the other inmate. 12 13 (The proceedings then recessed until 3:37 p.m. 14 while unrelated cases were heard.) 15 16 THE COURT: Returning to the People versus Elliott 17 Grizzle. Case 97-268-X. 18 (Brief pause waiting for defendant to be brought in.) MR. CLANTON: Your Honor, does the Court have that 19 20 copy of the fax I sent? I believe you had the copy I 21 originally sent. You took that in chambers? I believe I'll 22 need to address --23 Okay, I have the January 29th, 1999 copy, THE COURT: 24 and you're -- you want back the February 4th copy because it 25 has the same information? 26 MR. CLANTON: If I may approach. 27 THE COURT: All right, I'll return that to you.

Thank you.

28

MR. CLANTON:

THE COURT: All right, we -- in the matter of the People versus Elliott Grizzle, Mr. Grizzle is present, Mr. Clanton and the District Attorney. And we had recessed this matter earlier this afternoon because of the issue of the confidential information.

For the record, I did go through a confidential Department of Corrections file that was provided to the Court last week by agreement of the parties. Pertaining to Inmate Clark. Apparently a witness in this case. And I have identified a number of matters which I find to be discoverable and -- and -- and that should be turned over to the defendant by way of discovery.

However, because it is confidential and privileged information, I did notify Mr. Petzke of the Attorney

General's Office that before actually turning these matters

over I would give the State the option of whether they wish

to take some sanction because of the confidential nature of

the matter rather than turn it over. He had indicated that

there would be a representative of the Department of

Correction of the State, I'm not sure who he had in mind,

would be here today.

MR. FALLMAN: Lieutenant Kersh is here, your Honor.

THE COURT: Who?

MR. FALLMAN: Lieutenant Kersh.

THE COURT: Lieutenant Kersh? That's you, sir?

LIEUTENANT KERSH: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, I have identified a total of -- four? Four items that appear to me to be properly

. 7

1.6

discoverable and -- I -- I had advised Mr. Petzke over the telephone which ones they were.

Are you -- are you prepared to speak to whether those are matters that the Department does want to turn over rather than receive the sanction?

LIEUTENANT KERSH: I would have to see the material, your Honor. I'm not familiar with it.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I have the file here. If you need some time to examine it I guess you could. Or if you need -- if you could just glance at it or I can -- well, how would you like to handle it?

LIEUTENANT KERSH: I'd like to take a look at it briefly.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I have here the items. If you'd like to approach the bench I can show you which ones I'm talking about.

This can be off the record.

(Lieutenant Kersh at bench for off-the-record discussion.)

THE COURT: Okay, so we're on -- back on the record. Lieutenant Kersh has had an opportunity now to examine the items that I have -- that I selected out as being relevant or pertaining to this case to warrant discovery of them.

And Lieutenant Kersh, did you -- does the Department have any objection then to those matters being revealed and provided to the defense?

LIEUTENANT KERSH: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So those documents, so that we have a record of it now, one is a memorandum dated

2.2

January 13th, 1999 with a subject "Inmate Perjury" addressed to the Deputy District Attorney and -- and authored by James Rogers of the Office of Internal Affairs to the Northern Region. That will be the first one.

The next item is a memorandum dated January 22nd,
1999 addressed to Senior Special Agent David Mansfield and
authored by Office of Internal Affairs Special Agent James
Rogers with a caption "Inmate Frederick Clark intimidation."

The next item is a cover letter addressed to Special Agent Rogers and signed by James Fallman, Senior Deputy District Attorney. The cover letter by itself is not particularly significant, although I'll order that it be included, but -- but accompanying it is a handwritten document consisting of two pages apparently and dated January 24th of '99 and apparently written by Inmate Clark concerning his various complaints about his housing situation and so on.

And so I find that those items should be and are ordered to be provided to the defense.

Now, as to the whole -- because this is the original file apparently and record of the Department of Corrections, as to the whole file itself and -- and getting those -- copies of those documents from that file, how do you want to handle the mechanics of that?

MR. FALLMAN: Your Honor, I -- I don't want to really get into that part of it but other than to say somebody in my office, I think Rick Barton, got a call from Jim Rogers saying that he needs his entire original file back

eventually and so however the Court and Mr. Kersh would handle that.

THE COURT: Well, I assume so. I guess -- I guess the simplest thing to do is just take photocopies of those documents I have mentioned, give them to Mr. Clanton and -- and that's it.

Is that -- will that be sufficient or do you want more of a record made?

MR. CLANTON: Well, yes, your Honor, I would ask that the entire file that the Court has before it be sealed for possible appellate review. We certainly --

THE COURT: All right. Well, maybe that's the best way to handle it then. So if you want to preserve the issue of what might not have been ordered discovered out of the file, probably the thing to do then is to -- is to make a full copy of the entire -- of everything in the entire file, it's not too voluminous anyway, and then make copies of those things that I have mentioned just now on the record, provide those to Mr. Clanton, place everything else under seal to be -- to be opened on order -- only on order of this court or a higher court for examination if there's a later review as to the propriety of this order that I'm making right now.

So who should accomplish that?

LIEUTENANT KERSH: Our office can handle that, your Honor.

THE COURT: You can handle that?

LIEUTENANT KERSH: (Nodding.)

THE COURT: All right, so would you like me to turn this -- turn this file over to you then for the purpose of making those copies and providing -- providing the ones to Mr. Clanton that he is to receive? Do it that way?

(Nodding.) Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay, so what I'll do then is I'll order that the one -- one set consisting of the whole file, everything to be discovered and everything not to be discovered will be provided to the clerk of the Court to be held under seal, and those four documents that I mentioned will also be copied and copies of those provided to the attorneys.

So I'll turn this over to you then, Lieutenant Kersh.

MR. CLANTON: If I -- I'm sorry, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

LIEUTENANT KERSH:

<sub>t</sub>13

1.4

MR. CLANTON: I apologize for interrupting the Court. I'm just troubled somewhat by the returning of the file for copying and return to court. I think if it's filed it should stay with the Court for the purpose of making the copies. I think once the Court's made its ruling I don't think it's appropriate to hand the file back to C.D.C.

THE COURT: Well, apparently this is an original working file that I assume will have more documents -- this is somebody's work that he's doing; he needs his records.

MR. CLANTON: Well -- and I think that's fine and I have no problem with getting it returned to him, but I think this court should have an entire copy of what -- of those documents prior to returning them to C.D.C.

1 THE COURT: Yeah. MR. CLANTON: After this Court's ruling it sealed. 2 3 THE COURT: Well, if you would prefer I guess we 4 could have the clerk make the copies. 5 MR. CLANTON: I think -- I think just for the record 6 and for -- for abundance of caution I think that's 7 appropriate, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right, I'll --9 MR. CLANTON: Because --10 THE COURT: I'll order then that the clerk will make the copies and then -- so who do you want her to return the 11 original file then to or -- Mr. -- Mr. Fallman, were you 12 13 instrumental in getting that? 14 MR. FALLMAN: No, that was brought here -- I think Mr. Rogers personally brought that last week. 15 16 THE COURT: Yeah. 17 MR. FALLMAN: For possible in camera. That probably 18 should go back to the Internal Affairs Department in 19 Sacramento and I don't have their exact address but it's 20 James Rogers, Special Investigator. 21 THE COURT: All right. Well, let's --22 LIEUTENANT KERSH: Yeah, it's in the file. 23 THE COURT: Yeah, we'll give that to the clerk then

THE COURT: Yeah, we'll give that to the clerk then and she can make the copies and distribute them as I've indicated and seal them as needed.

24

25

26

27

28

Okay, we've got that accomplished now. What next?

MR. CLANTON: Well, your Honor, I do have some

copies -- I would like to be heard in regards to the Court's

ruling.

1.6

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. CLANTON: And regards to some questions. Part of this record with regard to the Clark matter I want to make sure includes my letter and fax to the Court making clear what I was trying to receive in terms of discovery. From what I understand the Court is going to grant me, there are significant portions what I consider relevant discovery that are not going to be coming to the defense as a result of the Court's ruling. I want to make sure that the record shows that I have listed a number of items there that I think are -- absolutely essential so that I have a clear understanding of the nature of Mr. Clark's contact with Internal Affairs, his reversal from earlier testimony and any and all inducements, incentives or benefits he's received as a result of that. I don't --

THE COURT: Well, you need to be aware that the file I was given for in camera review doesn't include a lot of that stuff you're talking about in any way, shape or form so --

MR. CLANTON: That would be fine. I just want to make the record that the people who are present at this proceeding also have a copy of this very list that I faxed to the Court. If that file does not contain any of that, my -- my requests are directed directly at the District Attorney and C.D.C. whose representatives are present today to whom my requests are -- are well known and have been memorialized.

THE COURT: Well, so that we're breaking down this -this down into pieces so that we can deal with, with respect
to this file, this file of I guess Special Agent Rogers.

MR. FALLMAN: (Nodding.)

THE COURT: Let's take care of that first and then if you have other requests we'll talk about other things, but I will -- so that it's clear I will make note that there was a memorandum on the letterhead of Russell Clanton dated January 29th of '99 that was receive stamped into the Court on -- the Court's file on January 29th which has a total of thirteen items that Mr. Clanton feels should be provided by way of discovery in this case. And I have considered this file in connection with Mr. Clanton's memorandum in determining what items should be discovered from that file of Mr. Rogers.

Now, once -- once we leave the file aside of Mr. Rogers then we can get onto other issues.

MR. CLANTON: That's fine, your Honor.

THE COURT: But is there anything else with respect to this file that you want to address on the record?

MR. CLANTON: No, I believe the fact that the Court has -- has agreed with the defense that should be sealed for possible appellate review ends the matter.

THE COURT: Okay. So what else then on the issue of discovery, and are these matters that you have been unable to resolve informally since you're required to do that first?

MR. CLANTON: Well, I would -- compartmentalize my

request, your Honor. Specifically I'm speaking now as to the Clark matter. The People received likewise on January 29th and -- a copy of my letter to the Court that the Court has read into the record with regards to the number of requests. The People have that. I know that C.D.C. has been made aware of the contents of that letter. I think all of those items that I've listed there are available to C.D.C. or the People and that they are in fact fundamental to my understanding of Mr. Clark's relationship to the People, any of the inducements, any of the benefits, any of the incentives that he has received in any way, shape or form, whether they be reductions in sentence, intervention by the District Attorney or C.D.C.'s review of any employee misconduct issues that Mr. Clark has raised in the past.

And I say that only because the memo that I received that was authored by Mr. Rogers specifically targeted the employee misconduct issues that Mr. Clark had apparently with C.D.C. and I think that the mere fact that those are in the report are red flags for me. I'm not certain what that means. Have they looked into these employee misconduct issues, are they -- are those going to be resolved, is Mr. Clark going to pull in his horns in regards to any issues or litigation that he has in those areas in exchange for this testimony, all of those I think are very relevant when it comes to determining the nature of the relationship with the District Attorney and the measurement of credibility for a witness that the People might call at this late date.

THE COURT: So which of these things do you claim

exist but you have not been given?

MR. CLANTON: All of them.

THE COURT: All right. Well, what makes you think that they do exist and that they are being withheld from you?

MR. CLANTON: Well, number one, I made the request and they haven't come to me.

THE COURT: All right. Well, that doesn't mean they exist.

MR. CLANTON: Right. That's true, your Honor. I would just say -- I don't think that there's any argument that Mr. Fallman has had some conversation with Mr. Clark or that C.D.C. has had some conversation with Mr. Clark and there's been some discussion of what Mr. Clark's going to get out of this testimony.

Now, I think that --

MR. FALLMAN: Your Honor, maybe I could shorten this up a little bit. I have received a one-page letter from Mr. Jim Rogers in Sacramento which led me to know that perhaps Mr. Frederick Clark might have information valuable to the People in this case. I discovered that one page -- I think the bottom of it was the part that was most relevant to me, and the rest of it may be talking about things that counsel is talking about here. Based on that letter, I went out to Pelican Bay State Prison after having Mr. Daniel L. Smith, Special Agent from the Special Service Unit of the Department of Corrections, come up from Sacramento and in the room was Officer Willis and we did a video of a

statement of Mr. Clark.

13.

The things that he was offered were immunity; that he would not be charged -- that he would not be charged for that he said was perjuring himself when he testified in the People versus Littrell. He was not offered immunity for -- for any later perjury. He was made aware that there -- I believe by Officer Willis, that there may be one or more D.A. referrals pending but unfiled in my office. No deals were made or offered to him that we would dismiss those or do anything with those if those exist, and I haven't seen them; they're not part of any deal.

He -- the other thing that he wanted, that he most wanted and that I have encouraged C.D.C. to cooperate on is he wants safe housing. Because of the fact that he says that he's willing to come forward and testify now, he wants safe housing. And I'm -- I want him to get that and I'm encouraging C.D.C. --

THE COURT: Well, aside from the representations you're making now about what's been offered him or whatever, and hasn't, has this ever been reduced to writing or is there any memorandum or anything that would be discoverable about this?

MR. FALLMAN: It -- I don't think so other than if it's on the tape, we've given a copy of that videotape to -- to counsel.

MR. CLANTON: (Nodding.)

MR. FALLMAN: And if it's on there it's on there. If it was said before that was turned on I don't know. Because

1.8

I haven't looked at the tape since we went out there but -- at any rate, that's --

THE COURT: Well, are you revealing all this to him for the first time or has this already been done?

MR. FALLMAN: No, I've told counsel what I'm saying to you right now before. The -- he has brought up something that I -- that I should make clear, though. Because in cases of witnesses who have testified before, inmate witnesses, it is my routine and practice to send a letter to the Board of Prison Terms which I've later learned may not be the right place, but I would send such a letter normally to -- to whatever the right place is in C.D.C. under Title 15 asking that the correct tribunal consider taking up to one year off of a -- any inmate sentence who confers a benefit on society. I write those kind of letters. I intend to write those kind of letters for inmate witnesses in this case but not for Mr. Clark.

THE COURT: Well, to solve our immediate problem which is whether there's -- there's discovery out there to be turned over, how can we quickly get to the bottom of this? As to what is and what isn't in existence and should be discovered?

MR. CLANTON: It -- I'm in a -- in a very big disadvantage, your Honor. I've communicated my request immediately --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. CLANTON: -- the day after I became aware of this.

THE COURT: So what do you want to do about it?

MR. CLANTON: Well, to date I haven't received

anything but neither have I been told it doesn't exist. We

have a situation if in fact he is getting a housing

change -- people who are in the SHU are in some conditions,

desire housing change. That in many instances can be an

incentive, an inducement to say anything here. And I think

if in fact that housing change has been -- has been

undertaken, that's memorialized somewhere in a memo and I'd

like that memo and --

MR. FALLMAN: Your Honor?

MR. CLANTON: I -- excuse me. I must say that Officer Willis has been very accommodating on most issues and I think that if that were available she would make that available to me. I don't have any problems --

THE COURT: Well, procedurally, what do you want done right now this afternoon?

MR. CLANTON: Well, what I would like is I'd like a statement from counsel or C.D.C. that they have a list of my requests to tell me what does not exist. I don't have that to date. After all of the things on this list I don't have a list of those things which exist or do not exist. I've already made the request. The request is a week old at this date.

THE COURT: You do that.

MR. FALLMAN: Your Honor, what I would have him do on the face of the trial is have him read item by item so we have them right now.

1. THE COURT: Well, I have a whole slew of people waiting this afternoon that were supposed to start two hours 2 ago at 2:00 o'clock and I've got to get through that work. 3 If you -- if you folks would like to hold a meeting 4 5 perhaps --6 MR. FALLMAN: (Nodding.) 7 THE COURT: -- and go over this informally which is what -- how you're supposed to do it anyhow, maybe we can --8 maybe we could get these needs met this afternoon. 9 10 MR. FALLMAN: Fine. 11 THE COURT: Would that -- would that work? 12 MR. CLANTON: I'm open to that, your Honor. I just make one comment on the record that I would like a copy of a 13 transcript of this proceeding with regards to all the 14 issues. 15 16 THE COURT: Well, you can see the court reporter and 17 I'm sure you can get a transcript from her. 18 MR. CLANTON: I would just ask that one be --19 THE COURT: How soon you can get it I don't know. 20 They're very busy but --21 MR. CLANTON: I understand, and actually --22 THE COURT: Talk to her about that. 23 MR. CLANTON: I will. 24 THE COURT: All right, you want to just recess this 25 matter while I take up my 2:00 o'clock calendar that's been 26 waiting? 27 MR. FALLMAN:

(Nodding.)

THE COURT: And then we'll get back to you before

28

1 we -- wrap up today? 2 MR. FALLMAN: Yes. (Nodding.) 3 MR. CLANTON: That's fine, your Honor. I'll meet --THE COURT: All right, we'll do that. 4 5 (The proceedings then recessed till 5:05 p.m. 6 while unrelated cases were handled.) 7 8 THE COURT: All right, People versus Elliott Grizzle. 9 We are again in session with Mr. Grizzle with Mr. Clanton and the District Attorney. 10 11 And I hope you've had a productive session with -- on 12 discovery issues? Have we got it all resolved, hopefully? MR. CLANTON: Well, it's resolved I believe from 13 14 C.D.C. and People's point of view, not to my satisfaction, 15 but they've basically told me what they're not going to give me and that's that. So in that sense it's resolved; it's 16 17 just simply not to my satisfaction. 18 THE COURT: So then are we -- is there any kind of a 19 record we need to make or are we ready to go to trial then 20 on Monday? 21 MR. CLANTON: Well, I think we need to make a record so if I need to seek further review of my denial I can do 22 23 so. 24 THE COURT: All right, who would like to state the 25 issue then for the record? 26 MR. CLANTON: Well, I think we need to -- well, 27 I'll -- I'll try, your Honor. Is -- if Officer Willis was

28

here it might be more --

TRANSPORTING OFFICER: She's in the restroom.

MR. CLANTON: I think we ought to wait for her because some of these issues are directly relating to her denials.

THE COURT: All right.

(A brief pause in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: Okay, Officer Willis, the court liaison officer, is also present.

Okay, go ahead and state for the record what the -- what the unresolved issues are pertaining to discovery.

MR. CLANTON: Well, I would start, your Honor, with my request with regards to Mr. Clark, and it's important that I make a record of these things so I'll try and be brief but I want to get to the point.

Specifically with the letter that is part of the record in the Court's file, the July -- the January 29th letter to the Court where I make my request, we specifically addressed those in a session with Miss Willis -- excuse me, Officer Willis and Mr. Fallman. Number one on that list was I had requested any and all benefits or promises to Mr. Clark from any agency or individual given in exchange for his testimony. I was given a letter and -- which indicates that Mr. Clark was in fact offered to parole in another state rather than in -- particular county. And I am informed by counsel and by C.D.C. that other than immunity and some other -- potentially housing changes of which were nonspecific, that is the sum total of the discovery. So I'm -- I take counsel and C.D.C. at their word in that sense

and I do -- I am in receipt of the letter and I guess if I develop any other issues I'll certainly make those known and I have the opportunity to cross-examine Mr. Clark so to that degree I'm satisfied.

Number two, the date and report therein that Mr. Clark was first contacted by C.D.C. or other agency wherein he offered to testify to the People. I am told that from -- from Officer Willis and from Mr. Fallman that what I have here with what this court has provided me to date is the entire record to indicate the first time Mr. Clark may have contacted C.D.C. or any agency with regards to his desire to testify.

MR. FALLMAN: Well, may I -- may I add something to that?

MR. CLANTON: Sure.

MR. FALLMAN: Your Honor, what I've said is based on the one-page letter which I received from Jim Rogers. I subpoenaed Mr. Rogers here last week with his entire file on -- relating to Clark. Now, I can't say whether everything in the world that they have on Clark is -- is in that file or not, but -- but my intent in the subpoena was -- the subpoena duces tecum was to bring everything that they had relating to Frederick Clark, whatever it was that caused them to fax me the one page that I had which I gave to counsel so -- the Court has seen apparently what they have. And if there's something in that that the Court has held back for whatever reasons then so be it, but I'm not saying that's everything. I'm saying that -- I believe that

the file that you saw was everything. And counsel now has what you're -- you've allowed him to have out of it plus the one page that I gave him. Plus the videotape that I gave him.

MR. CLANTON: Well, obviously what I'm concerned about is the timing of Mr. Clark's contact with C.D.C. and his desire to -- to change his testimony a hundred and eighty degrees. It's counsel's representation that everything he has seen with regards to that is -- is, to his knowledge, what I have here although there may be other items in that file that the Court has viewed and that I requested sealed for appellate review. So it's not necessarily to my total satisfaction but I -- I will take Mr. Fallman at his word that he can't provide any other additional -- additional information. If it is in fact in that file then it is in fact available for appellate review.

THE COURT: All right, continue.

MR. CLANTON: The nature of all employee misconduct issues Mr. Clark had or has with C.D.C. and how it -- or they have been affected by Mr. Clark's decision to testify for the People.

You want to address that the way that -- you approach that? (Mr. Clanton speaking to Ms. Willis.)

That the -- your Honor, they're basically saying I'm not going to get any information with regard to that bottom line and that's not to my satisfaction.

MR. FALLMAN: Well, I would address that, number one, Jim Rogers works for the Internal Affairs Division in

Sacramento. My understanding of the way that the Department of Corrections -- after the Jose Garcia trial, they moved their serious internal affairs matters to a separate department in Sacramento and northern region and another one in the southern region and I would assume that whatever internal affairs things that they had that related to Clark, any complaints he may have made would have gone to Mr. Rogers. Since I subpoenaed Mr. Rogers' entire file duces tecum, again I would have to refer to whatever the Court read. If there's -- I suspect and can only suspect that -- that counsel has already gotten whatever he's going to get because you've already done the in camera review from the -- from the Internal Affairs officer whom I subpoenaed the entire file to bring to you from.

MR. CLANTON: Well, I'm -- I've raised that point several times to the Court. I think I don't need to go on and on about that. Since -- one week ago when I was first made aware of Mr. Clark's sudden change of heart in these matters I immediately contacted the Court and counsel and gave them the list of discovery issues I thought were relevant with regards to that. The employee misconduct issues that Mr. Clark had I've several times indicated to the Court are a problem for me. I want to know what those are about. I've made my request. It's been denied by counsel. He -- basically says whatever he has may be in his file and so it's up to the Court's decision.

THE COURT: Okay, continue.

MR. CLANTON: Any and all notes or reports by James

Rogers of C.D.C. Internal Affairs during his investigation of Mr. Clark and his purported desire to testify for the People. I'm told by counsel and Officer Willis that to their knowledge this is all they have that -- with regards to -- that it is all they know about is what I have and that there may be other things in the file. The Court has that so therefore the ball's in the Court's court. I obviously would like any additional reports by Mr. Rogers if they exist.

THE COURT: All right. Well, we -- I've gone through that and picked out anything that might be relevant so I think that's been accomplished.

MR. CLANTON: Okay.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. CLANTON: And again I would just note that that's -- if there are additional reports from Mr. Rogers and we're not getting those, that would be an objection we would raise but the file's sealed.

Any and all reports involving Mr. Clark at Pelican Bay State Prison since July 25th involving, but not limited to, reports of threats, actual assaults, 115's, assess to other inmates involving Mr. Clark, 114's, or other official notes involving Mr. Clark since July 1997. In speaking with Officer Willis she indicated to me that she is going to review files to determine if there is any relevant information with regards to that and that she will do that as soon as possible and hopefully by tomorrow.

MR. FALLMAN: But counsel has also agreed that to

1.8

limit the time period down that she has to look at that it will only be after the date of the Gary Littrell trial because counsel has stated that he wants this because he wants to see if anybody intimidated Clark because he testified in the Gary Littrell trial. Therefore any date prior to that would be irrelevant.

MR. CLANTON: That's -- that's accurate, your Honor. I think that's the operative time frame.

THE COURT: All right, go ahead.

MR. CLANTON: Any tape-recorded or video statements by Mr. Clark since July 25th, 1997, and any and all audio or video statements by any other inmate concerning Mr. Clark since July 25th, '97. I'm informed by counsel and Officer Willis that my tape of Mr. Clark to their knowledge is the sum total of any electronic statements. I'm not certain whether the file the Court has alludes to the existence of any other audiotapes or videotapes. Certainly if they do, again we would make the request of the Court that those be provided to us. If the Court decides not to I would just -- indicate we object to that and communicate to the appellate courts through the sealed file.

Number eight, all reports relating to the investigation of Mr. Clark's sister as it relates to the report filed by James Rogers. I am told absolutely by Mr. Fallman and Officer Willis that there are no attempts at investigation in that particular area and I'm going to take them on their word at that. I think they can be trusted.

MR. FALLMAN: Well, what -- what's been said is we've

1.4

asked Clark to see if the person will be willing to come forwards and cooperate, but there's so little time left before trial that we don't have the wherewithal to send people where this person may be and invest in that in case that ends up being a wild goose chase. So we're not affirmatively doing that, but if he comes back and says that his sister will testify, we would accept that if it happens and that's what we've told him.

MR. CLANTON: And I would only ask if that is done that I be communicated to directly and immediately.

Number nine, all logs showing housing of Mr. Clark, Mr. Grizzle, since July 25th, 1997. Officer Willis has indicated to me that she's going to do her best to try and provide that to me. So we're agreed on that one.

All statements of Brian Healy as they relate to Frederick Clark since July 25th of '97. I am told by counsel and Officer Willis that there are none and that if they were to exist they would have them. Again, I would -- I'm in a position of taking them at their word on that. So if anything were to surface, we'll deal with it at that point.

Eleven, the current housing location of Brian Healy within C.D.C. I'm told that that's not going to be given to me.

The current housing of Frederick Clark in C.D.C. I'm told that that's not going to be given to me.

And any additional statements or reports concerning Frederick Clark since January 13th, 1999. I'm told by

THE DEFENDANT: Not acceptable.

THE COURT: Not acceptable. Well, then.

Procedurally what do you want to do?

MR. FALLMAN: Well, I'm -- I'm not sure -- I mean you -- you've heard the evidence of the last trial. I'm not sure that these people would come up with anything other than just a discovery fishing expedition. I would just as soon go forward with the trial.

THE COURT: Well, the different -- part of -- part of the reason we have a different ball game here than we did with Ridinger is that the Ridinger case was not a who-done-it case. I mean, everybody knew --

MR. CLANTON: I'm sorry, your Honor?

THE COURT: -- who Ridinger --

MR. CLANTON: I apologize for interrupting but the Court's indicating Ridinger.

MR. FALLMAN: Littrell.

THE COURT: Littrell. Everybody knew who Littrell killed. I mean, he didn't even deny it. So to a large extent, what went down between he and Marsh in that cell really was -- you know, the issue, was it self-defense or not. But in this case where you're -- and although admittedly there was a conspiracy count and so on, but -- but in this case you -- you essentially are hanging your hat entirely really on the conspiracy.

I mean, everybody knows this defendant did not kill Marsh. He couldn't have. He was in another cell.

MR. FALLMAN: (Nodding.)

THE COURT: His liability is -- if any, is derivative. And so -- and because essentially your -- your motive and really the only motive I'm aware of that you have to show why he would have helped Littrell to do this is this gang thing.

MR. FALLMAN: (Nodding.)

1.1

THE COURT: So whatever comes of this, this defendant has a stronger argument than Littrell had.

MR. FALLMAN: (Nodding.)

THE COURT: To get -- to delve into this stuff.

Well, I guess what I'm -- what I am considering doing, and the hour is quite late. I've been in session here with a couple of very short breaks ever since 1:00 o'clock. Not to mention working this morning as well. I'm exhausted, frankly. And I think everybody else is -- probably is, too. We have one more business day left before our trial commences on Monday. And I would propose that we might consider sleeping on this and coming back sometime tomorrow maybe about 3:00 o'clock. With a view to resolving this rather than -- rather than to try to thrash this out at some late hour of the night tonight. And you might consider how this case might be -- both sides might -- might consider how this case might be resolved. I mean, if not, how we're gonna -- how we're gonna handle this discovery issue.

Is that -- is 3:00 o'clock tomorrow beyond the means of the transportation team? Is that -- does that put you guys in an impossible box?

Sergeant, how are you with your schedule?